

# Automorphisms of symbolic dynamical systems

Tom Meyerovitch

Ben Gurion University of the Negev  
[www.math.bgu.ac.il/~mtom](http://www.math.bgu.ac.il/~mtom)

December, 2014

# On the automorphism group of $\mathbb{Z}$ -SFTs

[BLR], extending [H] Let  $(X, \sigma)$  be an uncountable  $\mathbb{Z}$ -SFT. Then  $Aut(X, \sigma)$ :

# On the automorphism group of $\mathbb{Z}$ -SFTs

[BLR], extending [H] Let  $(X, \sigma)$  be an uncountable  $\mathbb{Z}$ -SFT. Then  $Aut(X, \sigma)$ :

# On the automorphism group of $\mathbb{Z}$ -SFTs

[BLR], extending [H] Let  $(X, \sigma)$  be an uncountable  $\mathbb{Z}$ -SFT. Then  $Aut(X, \sigma)$ :

- Embeds any finite group.

# On the automorphism group of $\mathbb{Z}$ -SFTs

[BLR], extending [H] Let  $(X, \sigma)$  be an uncountable  $\mathbb{Z}$ -SFT. Then  $Aut(X, \sigma)$ :

- Embeds any finite group.
- Embeds the **direct sum** of every countable collection of finite groups.

# On the automorphism group of $\mathbb{Z}$ -SFTs

[BLR], extending [H] Let  $(X, \sigma)$  be an uncountable  $\mathbb{Z}$ -SFT. Then  $Aut(X, \sigma)$ :

- Embeds any finite group.
- Embeds the **direct sum** of every countable collection of finite groups.
- Embeds a **free product** of any number of 2-element groups, hence a **free group** on a countable number of generators.

# On the automorphism group of $\mathbb{Z}$ -SFTs

[BLR], extending [H] Let  $(X, \sigma)$  be an uncountable  $\mathbb{Z}$ -SFT. Then  $Aut(X, \sigma)$ :

- Embeds any finite group.
- Embeds the **direct sum** of every countable collection of finite groups.
- Embeds a **free product** of any number of 2-element groups, hence a **free group** on a countable number of generators.
- Embeds  $Aut(\{1, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma)$  for all  $n$  [KR].

# On the automorphism group of $\mathbb{Z}$ -SFTs

[BLR], extending [H] Let  $(X, \sigma)$  be an uncountable  $\mathbb{Z}$ -SFT. Then  $Aut(X, \sigma)$ :

- Embeds any finite group.
- Embeds the **direct sum** of every countable collection of finite groups.
- Embeds a **free product** of any number of 2-element groups, hence a **free group** on a countable number of generators.
- Embeds  $Aut(\{1, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma)$  for all  $n$  [KR].
- If  $(X, \sigma)$  is irreducible then the center of  $Aut(X, \sigma)$  generated by  $\sigma$  [R].



# On the automorphism group of $\mathbb{Z}$ -SFTs

[BLR], extending [H] Let  $(X, \sigma)$  be an uncountable  $\mathbb{Z}$ -SFT. Then  $Aut(X, \sigma)$ :

- Embeds any finite group.
- Embeds the **direct sum** of every countable collection of finite groups.
- Embeds a **free product** of any number of 2-element groups, hence a **free group** on a countable number of generators.
- Embeds  $Aut(\{1, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma)$  for all  $n$  [KR].
- If  $(X, \sigma)$  is irreducible then the center of  $Aut(X, \sigma)$  generated by  $\sigma$  [R].

# Embedding free products:

Let's prove that  $Aut(X, \sigma)$  embeds the free product of cyclic groups:

# Embedding free products:

Let's prove that  $Aut(X, \sigma)$  embeds the free product of cyclic groups:

- For simplicity, let  $X = \{*, 0, 1, 2, 3\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

# Embedding free products:

Let's prove that  $Aut(X, \sigma)$  embeds the free product of cyclic groups:

- For simplicity, let  $X = \{*, 0, 1, 2, 3\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ .
- For  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  define  $\phi_j \in Aut(X, \sigma)$  to be the automorphism which “swaps  $sj$  with  $s0$ ” for  $s \notin \{0, j\}$ .

# Embedding free products:

Let's prove that  $Aut(X, \sigma)$  embeds the free product of cyclic groups:

- For simplicity, let  $X = \{*, 0, 1, 2, 3\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ .
- For  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  define  $\phi_j \in Aut(X, \sigma)$  to be the automorphism which “swaps  $sj$  with  $s0$ ” for  $s \notin \{0, j\}$ .
- By inspecting the action of  $\langle \phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3 \rangle$  on the point  $\dots 000 * 000 \dots$  we see that it generates a group isomorphic to the free product  $C_2 * C_2 * C_2$ .

## Reminder: Amenable groups

- $\mathbb{G}$  is **amenable** if any  $\mathbb{G}$ -flow admits a  $\mathbb{G}$ -invariant measure.

## Reminder: Amenable groups

- $\mathbb{G}$  is **amenable** if any  $\mathbb{G}$ -flow admits a  $\mathbb{G}$ -invariant measure.
- Given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\emptyset \neq K \subset \mathbb{G}$  we say that  $F \subset \mathbb{G}$  is  **$(K, \epsilon)$ -invariant** if  $|KF \setminus F|/|F| < \epsilon$ .  $\mathbb{G}$  is amenable if and only if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and any  $K \subset \mathbb{G}$  there exists a  $(K, \epsilon)$ -invariant  $F \subset \mathbb{G}$ .

## Reminder: Amenable groups

- $\mathbb{G}$  is **amenable** if any  $\mathbb{G}$ -flow admits a  $\mathbb{G}$ -invariant measure.
- Given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\emptyset \neq K \subset \mathbb{G}$  we say that  $F \subset \mathbb{G}$  is  **$(K, \epsilon)$ -invariant** if  $|KF \setminus F|/|F| < \epsilon$ .  $\mathbb{G}$  is amenable if and only if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and any  $K \subset \mathbb{G}$  there exists a  $(K, \epsilon)$ -invariant  $F \subset \mathbb{G}$ .
- $\mathbb{G}$  is amenable if and only if there exists a **Følner sequence in  $\mathbb{G}$** : That is a sequence of  $F_1, F_2 \subset \mathbb{G}$  of finite (non-empty) subsets such that for any finite  $K \subset \mathbb{G}$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $F_n$  is  $(K, \epsilon)$ -invariant for all large  $n$ .



# Reminder: Amenable groups

- $\mathbb{G}$  is **amenable** if any  $\mathbb{G}$ -flow admits a  $\mathbb{G}$ -invariant measure.
- Given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\emptyset \neq K \subset \mathbb{G}$  we say that  $F \subset \mathbb{G}$  is  **$(K, \epsilon)$ -invariant** if  $|KF \setminus F|/|F| < \epsilon$ .  $\mathbb{G}$  is amenable if and only if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and any  $K \subset \mathbb{G}$  there exists a  $(K, \epsilon)$ -invariant  $F \subset \mathbb{G}$ .
- $\mathbb{G}$  is amenable if and only if there exists a **Følner sequence in  $\mathbb{G}$** : That is a sequence of  $F_1, F_2 \subset \mathbb{G}$  of finite (non-empty) subsets such that for any finite  $K \subset \mathbb{G}$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $F_n$  is  $(K, \epsilon)$ -invariant for all large  $n$ .
- Many other equivalent definition (existence of **invariant mean**, fixed point property ...)

# Reminder: Amenable groups

- $\mathbb{G}$  is **amenable** if any  $\mathbb{G}$ -flow admits a  $\mathbb{G}$ -invariant measure.
- Given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\emptyset \neq K \subset \mathbb{G}$  we say that  $F \subset \mathbb{G}$  is  **$(K, \epsilon)$ -invariant** if  $|KF \setminus F|/|F| < \epsilon$ .  $\mathbb{G}$  is amenable if and only if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and any  $K \subset \mathbb{G}$  there exists a  $(K, \epsilon)$ -invariant  $F \subset \mathbb{G}$ .
- $\mathbb{G}$  is amenable if and only if there exists a **Følner sequence in  $\mathbb{G}$** : That is a sequence of  $F_1, F_2 \subset \mathbb{G}$  of finite (non-empty) subsets such that for any finite  $K \subset \mathbb{G}$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $F_n$  is  $(K, \epsilon)$ -invariant for all large  $n$ .
- Many other equivalent definition (existence of **invariant mean**, fixed point property ...)
- The following groups are examples of amenable: Any finite group,  $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $S_\infty = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty S_n$  (as is any locally finite group), Solvable groups (lamplighter groups, nilpotent groups), ...

## Reminder: Amenable groups

- $\mathbb{G}$  is **amenable** if any  $\mathbb{G}$ -flow admits a  $\mathbb{G}$ -invariant measure.
- Given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\emptyset \neq K \subset \mathbb{G}$  we say that  $F \subset \mathbb{G}$  is  **$(K, \epsilon)$ -invariant** if  $|KF \setminus F|/|F| < \epsilon$ .  $\mathbb{G}$  is amenable if and only if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and any  $K \subset \mathbb{G}$  there exists a  $(K, \epsilon)$ -invariant  $F \subset \mathbb{G}$ .
- $\mathbb{G}$  is amenable if and only if there exists a **Følner sequence in  $\mathbb{G}$** : That is a sequence of  $F_1, F_2 \subset \mathbb{G}$  of finite (non-empty) subsets such that for any finite  $K \subset \mathbb{G}$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $F_n$  is  $(K, \epsilon)$ -invariant for all large  $n$ .
- Many other equivalent definition (existence of **invariant mean**, fixed point property ...)
- The following groups are examples of amenable: Any finite group,  $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $S_\infty = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty S_n$  (as is any locally finite group), Solvable groups (lamplighter groups, nilpotent groups), ...
- Any subgroup or quotient of an amenable group is amenable.

# Reminder: Amenable groups

- $\mathbb{G}$  is **amenable** if any  $\mathbb{G}$ -flow admits a  $\mathbb{G}$ -invariant measure.
- Given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\emptyset \neq K \subset \mathbb{G}$  we say that  $F \subset \mathbb{G}$  is  **$(K, \epsilon)$ -invariant** if  $|KF \setminus F|/|F| < \epsilon$ .  $\mathbb{G}$  is amenable if and only if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and any  $K \subset \mathbb{G}$  there exists a  $(K, \epsilon)$ -invariant  $F \subset \mathbb{G}$ .
- $\mathbb{G}$  is amenable if and only if there exists a **Følner sequence in  $\mathbb{G}$** : That is a sequence of  $F_1, F_2 \subset \mathbb{G}$  of finite (non-empty) subsets such that for any finite  $K \subset \mathbb{G}$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $F_n$  is  $(K, \epsilon)$ -invariant for all large  $n$ .
- Many other equivalent definition (existence of **invariant mean**, fixed point property ...)
- The following groups are examples of amenable: Any finite group,  $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $S_\infty = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty S_n$  (as is any locally finite group), Solvable groups (lamplighter groups, nilpotent groups), ...
- Any subgroup or quotient of an amenable group is amenable.
- The following groups are not amenable: Non-Abelian free groups,  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  for any  $n > 1$  and any finitely generated linear group which is not virtually-solvable.

# Reminder: Amenable groups

- $\mathbb{G}$  is **amenable** if any  $\mathbb{G}$ -flow admits a  $\mathbb{G}$ -invariant measure.
- Given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\emptyset \neq K \subset \mathbb{G}$  we say that  $F \subset \mathbb{G}$  is  **$(K, \epsilon)$ -invariant** if  $|KF \setminus F|/|F| < \epsilon$ .  $\mathbb{G}$  is amenable if and only if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and any  $K \subset \mathbb{G}$  there exists a  $(K, \epsilon)$ -invariant  $F \subset \mathbb{G}$ .
- $\mathbb{G}$  is amenable if and only if there exists a **Følner sequence in  $\mathbb{G}$** : That is a sequence of  $F_1, F_2 \subset \mathbb{G}$  of finite (non-empty) subsets such that for any finite  $K \subset \mathbb{G}$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $F_n$  is  $(K, \epsilon)$ -invariant for all large  $n$ .
- Many other equivalent definition (existence of **invariant mean**, fixed point property ...)
- The following groups are examples of amenable: Any finite group,  $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $S_\infty = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty S_n$  (as is any locally finite group), Solvable groups (lamplighter groups, nilpotent groups), ...
- Any subgroup or quotient of an amenable group is amenable.
- The following groups are not amenable: Non-Abelian free groups,  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  for any  $n > 1$  and any finitely generated linear group which is not virtually-solvable. Many other different examples...

## Reminder: Amenable groups

- $\mathbb{G}$  is **amenable** if any  $\mathbb{G}$ -flow admits a  $\mathbb{G}$ -invariant measure.
- Given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\emptyset \neq K \subset \mathbb{G}$  we say that  $F \subset \mathbb{G}$  is  **$(K, \epsilon)$ -invariant** if  $|KF \setminus F|/|F| < \epsilon$ .  $\mathbb{G}$  is amenable if and only if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and any  $K \subset \mathbb{G}$  there exists a  $(K, \epsilon)$ -invariant  $F \subset \mathbb{G}$ .
- $\mathbb{G}$  is amenable if and only if there exists a **Følner sequence in  $\mathbb{G}$** : That is a sequence of  $F_1, F_2 \subset \mathbb{G}$  of finite (non-empty) subsets such that for any finite  $K \subset \mathbb{G}$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $F_n$  is  $(K, \epsilon)$ -invariant for all large  $n$ .
- Many other equivalent definition (existence of **invariant mean**, fixed point property ...)
- The following groups are examples of amenable: Any finite group,  $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $S_\infty = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty S_n$  (as is any locally finite group), Solvable groups (lamplighter groups, nilpotent groups), ...
- Any subgroup or quotient of an amenable group is amenable.
- The following groups are not amenable: Non-Abelian free groups,  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  for any  $n > 1$  and any finitely generated linear group which is not virtually-solvable. Many other different examples...

## Reminder: Topological entropy

- A numerical invariant which measures the "complexity" of a  $\mathbb{G}$ -flow  $(X, T)$ .

## Reminder: Topological entropy

- A numerical invariant which measures the "complexity" of a  $\mathbb{G}$ -flow  $(X, T)$ .
- Given a metric  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $F \subset\subset \mathbb{G}$  let  $d_F^T(x, y) = \max\{d(T_g(x), T_g(y)) : g \in F\}$ .



## Reminder: Topological entropy

- A numerical invariant which measures the "complexity" of a  $\mathbb{G}$ -flow  $(X, T)$ .
- Given a metric  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $F \subset \subset \mathbb{G}$  let  $d_F^T(x, y) = \max\{d(T_g(x), T_g(y)) : g \in F\}$ .
- For a metric space  $(X, d)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  let  $Cov(X, d, \epsilon)$  denote the smallest number of  $\epsilon$ -balls which cover  $X$ .

## Reminder: Topological entropy

- A numerical invariant which measures the "complexity" of a  $\mathbb{G}$ -flow  $(X, T)$ .
- Given a metric  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $F \subset \subset \mathbb{G}$  let  $d_F^T(x, y) = \max\{d(T_g(x), T_g(y)) : g \in F\}$ .
- For a metric space  $(X, d)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  let  $Cov(X, d, \epsilon)$  denote the smallest number of  $\epsilon$ -balls which cover  $X$ .
- The **topological entropy** of a  $\mathbb{G}$ -flow over an amenable group  $\mathbb{G}$ :

$$h(X, T) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log Cov(X, d_{F_n}^T, \epsilon),$$

where  $(F_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is any Følner sequence in  $\mathbb{G}$ .

## Reminder: Topological entropy

- A numerical invariant which measures the "complexity" of a  $\mathbb{G}$ -flow  $(X, T)$ .
- Given a metric  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $F \subset \mathbb{G}$  let  $d_F^T(x, y) = \max\{d(T_g(x), T_g(y)) : g \in F\}$ .
- For a metric space  $(X, d)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  let  $Cov(X, d, \epsilon)$  denote the smallest number of  $\epsilon$ -balls which cover  $X$ .
- The **topological entropy** of a  $\mathbb{G}$ -flow over an amenable group  $\mathbb{G}$ :

$$h(X, T) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log Cov(X, d_{F_n}^T, \epsilon),$$

where  $(F_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is any Følner sequence in  $\mathbb{G}$ .

- For a  $\mathbb{G}$ -subshift  $(X, \sigma)$  this simplifies to:

## Reminder: Topological entropy

- A numerical invariant which measures the "complexity" of a  $\mathbb{G}$ -flow  $(X, T)$ .
- Given a metric  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $F \subset \subset \mathbb{G}$  let  $d_F^T(x, y) = \max\{d(T_g(x), T_g(y)) : g \in F\}$ .
- For a metric space  $(X, d)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  let  $Cov(X, d, \epsilon)$  denote the smallest number of  $\epsilon$ -balls which cover  $X$ .
- The **topological entropy** of a  $\mathbb{G}$ -flow over an amenable group  $\mathbb{G}$ :

$$h(X, T) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log Cov(X, d_{F_n}^T, \epsilon),$$

where  $(F_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is any Følner sequence in  $\mathbb{G}$ .

- For a  $\mathbb{G}$ -subshift  $(X, \sigma)$  this simplifies to:

$$h(X) = h(X, \sigma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(\#\{x|_{F_n} : x \in X\})}{|F_n|}$$

# Topological entropy

$$h(X) = h(X, \sigma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(\#\{x|_{F_n} : x \in X\})}{|F_n|}$$

# Topological entropy

$$h(X) = h(X, \sigma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(\#\{x|_{F_n} : x \in X\})}{|F_n|}$$

- Exercise:  $h(A^{\mathbb{G}}, \sigma) =$

# Topological entropy

$$h(X) = h(X, \sigma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(\#\{x|_{F_n} : x \in X\})}{|F_n|}$$

- **Exercise:**  $h(A^{\mathbb{G}}, \sigma) = \log |A|$ .

$$h(X) = h(X, \sigma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(\#\{x|_{F_n} : x \in X\})}{|F_n|}$$

- **Exercise:**  $h(A^{\mathbb{G}}, \sigma) = \log |A|$ .
- The limit above exists and is actually an infimum. This follows (using amenability of  $\mathbb{G}$ ) because the function  $F \mapsto \#\{x|_F : x \in X\}$  is  $\mathbb{G}$ -invariant and **submultiplicative**.



# Topological entropy

$$h(X) = h(X, \sigma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(\#\{x|_{F_n} : x \in X\})}{|F_n|}$$

- **Exercise:**  $h(A^{\mathbb{G}}, \sigma) = \log |A|$ .
- The limit above exists and is actually an infimum. This follows (using amenability of  $\mathbb{G}$ ) because the function  $F \mapsto \#\{x|_F : x \in X\}$  is  $\mathbb{G}$ -invariant and **submultiplicative**.
- Does not depend on the choice of Følner sequence in  $\mathbb{G}$ .

$$h(X) = h(X, \sigma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(\#\{x|_{F_n} : x \in X\})}{|F_n|}$$

- **Exercise:**  $h(A^{\mathbb{G}}, \sigma) = \log |A|$ .
- The limit above exists and is actually an infimum. This follows (using amenability of  $\mathbb{G}$ ) because the function  $F \mapsto \#\{x|_F : x \in X\}$  is  $\mathbb{G}$ -invariant and **submultiplicative**.
- Does not depend on the choice of Følner sequence in  $\mathbb{G}$ .
- $h(X, \sigma)$  is invariant under isomorphism, and more generally decreases under factor maps.

# Topological Markov fields

Given a finite set  $W \subset \mathbb{G}$  define for  $F \subset \mathbb{G}$ :

$$\partial_W F := \{g \in F : F^c \cap gW^{-1} \neq \emptyset\} = F \cap F^c W$$

$$\text{int}_W F := F \setminus \partial_W F$$

# Topological Markov fields

Given a finite set  $W \subset \mathbb{G}$  define for  $F \subset \mathbb{G}$ :

$$\partial_W F := \{g \in F : F^c \cap gW^{-1} \neq \emptyset\} = F \cap F^c W$$

$$\text{int}_W F := F \setminus \partial_W F$$

- Say that a subshift  $X \subset A^{\mathbb{G}}$  is a **topological Markov field (TMF)** with respect to  $W$  or “has the Markov property with respect to  $W$ ”: If for every  $F \subset \mathbb{G}$  and any  $x, y \in X$  if  $x|_{\partial_W F} = y|_{\partial_W F}$  then there exists (a unique)  $z \in X$  such that  $z|_F = x|_F$  and  $z|_{F^c} = y|_{F^c}$ .

# Topological Markov fields

Given a finite set  $W \subset \mathbb{G}$  define for  $F \subset \mathbb{G}$ :

$$\partial_W F := \{g \in F : F^c \cap gW^{-1} \neq \emptyset\} = F \cap F^c W$$

$$\text{int}_W F := F \setminus \partial_W F$$

- Say that a subshift  $X \subset A^{\mathbb{G}}$  is a **topological Markov field (TMF)** with respect to  $W$  or “has the Markov property with respect to  $W$ ”: If for every  $F \subset \mathbb{G}$  and any  $x, y \in X$  if  $x|_{\partial_W F} = y|_{\partial_W F}$  then there exists (a unique)  $z \in X$  such that  $z|_F = x|_F$  and  $z|_{F^c} = y|_{F^c}$ .

# Topological Markov Fields

- **Exercise:** Any SFT is a topological Markov field with respect to some  $W \subset \mathbb{C} \mathbb{G}$ .

# Topological Markov Fields

- **Exercise:** Any SFT is a topological Markov field with respect to some  $W \subset\subset \mathbb{G}$ . **Recall:** Any SFT is of the form

$$X = \left\{ x \in A^{\mathbb{G}} : \sigma_g(x)|_W \notin \mathcal{F} \ \forall g \in \mathbb{G} \right\}$$

for some  $W \subset\subset \mathbb{G}$  and  $\mathcal{F} \subset A^W$ .

# Topological Markov Fields

- **Exercise:** Any SFT is a topological Markov field with respect to some  $W \subset \mathbb{G}$ . **Recall:** Any SFT is of the form

$$X = \left\{ x \in A^{\mathbb{G}} : \sigma_g(x)|_W \notin \mathcal{F} \ \forall g \in \mathbb{G} \right\}$$

for some  $W \subset \mathbb{G}$  and  $\mathcal{F} \subset A^W$ .

- **Exercise:** Any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subshift which is a TMF is also an SFT.



# Topological Markov Fields

- **Exercise:** Any SFT is a topological Markov field with respect to some  $W \subset\subset \mathbb{G}$ . **Recall:** Any SFT is of the form

$$X = \left\{ x \in A^{\mathbb{G}} : \sigma_g(x)|_W \notin \mathcal{F} \ \forall g \in \mathbb{G} \right\}$$

for some  $W \subset\subset \mathbb{G}$  and  $\mathcal{F} \subset A^W$ .

- **Exercise:** Any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subshift which is a TMF is also an SFT. **Note:** We did not restrict  $F$  to be a finite set in the definition above.

# Topological Markov Fields

- **Exercise:** Any SFT is a topological Markov field with respect to some  $W \subset\subset \mathbb{G}$ . **Recall:** Any SFT is of the form

$$X = \left\{ x \in A^{\mathbb{G}} : \sigma_g(x)|_W \notin \mathcal{F} \ \forall g \in \mathbb{G} \right\}$$

for some  $W \subset\subset \mathbb{G}$  and  $\mathcal{F} \subset A^W$ .

- **Exercise:** Any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subshift which is a TMF is also an SFT. **Note:** We did not restrict  $F$  to be a finite set in the definition above.

# Topological Markov Fields

- **Exercise:** Any SFT is a topological Markov field with respect to some  $W \subset\subset \mathbb{G}$ . **Recall:** Any SFT is of the form

$$X = \left\{ x \in A^{\mathbb{G}} : \sigma_g(x)|_W \notin \mathcal{F} \ \forall g \in \mathbb{G} \right\}$$

for some  $W \subset\subset \mathbb{G}$  and  $\mathcal{F} \subset A^W$ .

- **Exercise:** Any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subshift which is a TMF is also an SFT. **Note:** We did not restrict  $F$  to be a finite set in the definition above.
- **Fact:** For any  $d \geq 2$  there are  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -subshifts which are TMF but not of finite type [CM],[Hoc].

# Strongly Irreducible subshifts

- A subshift  $X \subset A^{\mathbb{G}}$  is **strongly irreducible** if there exists  $\emptyset \neq W \subset\subset \mathbb{G}$  such that any for any  $F_1, F_2$  such that  $F_1 W \cap F_2 W = \emptyset$  and any  $x, y \in X$  there exist  $z \in X$  such that  $z|_{F_1} = x|_{F_1}$  and  $z|_{F_2} = y|_{F_2}$ .

# Strongly Irreducible subshifts

- A subshift  $X \subset A^{\mathbb{G}}$  is **strongly irreducible** if there exists  $\emptyset \neq W \subset\subset \mathbb{G}$  such that any for any  $F_1, F_2$  such that  $F_1 W \cap F_2 W = \emptyset$  and any  $x, y \in X$  there exist  $z \in X$  such that  $z|_{F_1} = x|_{F_1}$  and  $z|_{F_2} = y|_{F_2}$ .
- **Example:** Hard core models are always strongly irreducible.

# Strongly Irreducible subshifts

- A subshift  $X \subset A^{\mathbb{G}}$  is **strongly irreducible** if there exists  $\emptyset \neq W \subset\subset \mathbb{G}$  such that any for any  $F_1, F_2$  such that  $F_1 W \cap F_2 W = \emptyset$  and any  $x, y \in X$  there exist  $z \in X$  such that  $z|_{F_1} = x|_{F_1}$  and  $z|_{F_2} = y|_{F_2}$ .
- **Example:** Hard core models are always strongly irreducible.
- **Example:** 3-coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  for  $d \geq 2$  are **topologically mixing** but not strongly irreducible.

# Strongly Irreducible subshifts

- A subshift  $X \subset A^{\mathbb{G}}$  is **strongly irreducible** if there exists  $\emptyset \neq W \subset\subset \mathbb{G}$  such that any for any  $F_1, F_2$  such that  $F_1 W \cap F_2 W = \emptyset$  and any  $x, y \in X$  there exist  $z \in X$  such that  $z|_{F_1} = x|_{F_1}$  and  $z|_{F_2} = y|_{F_2}$ .
- **Example:** Hard core models are always strongly irreducible.
- **Example:** 3-coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  for  $d \geq 2$  are **topologically mixing** but not strongly irreducible.
- **Open question:** Does any strongly irreducible  $\mathbb{Z}^3$ -SFT have a finite orbit?

# Strongly Irreducible subshifts

- A subshift  $X \subset A^{\mathbb{G}}$  is **strongly irreducible** if there exists  $\emptyset \neq W \subset\subset \mathbb{G}$  such that any for any  $F_1, F_2$  such that  $F_1 W \cap F_2 W = \emptyset$  and any  $x, y \in X$  there exist  $z \in X$  such that  $z|_{F_1} = x|_{F_1}$  and  $z|_{F_2} = y|_{F_2}$ .
- **Example:** Hard core models are always strongly irreducible.
- **Example:** 3-coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  for  $d \geq 2$  are **topologically mixing** but not strongly irreducible.
- **Open question:** Does any strongly irreducible  $\mathbb{Z}^3$ -SFT have a finite orbit?



# On automorphisms of $\mathbb{G}$ -subshifts of positive entropy

## Theorem

Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be a countable (infinite) *amenable* group, and let  $(X, \sigma)$  be a  $\mathbb{G}$ -SFT of *positive entropy*, then  $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$  contains a copy of any finite group.

# On automorphisms of $\mathbb{G}$ -subshifts of positive entropy

## Theorem

Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be a countable (infinite) *amenable* group, and let  $(X, \sigma)$  be a  $\mathbb{G}$ -SFT of *positive entropy*, then  $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$  contains a copy of any finite group.

Comments:

# On automorphisms of $\mathbb{G}$ -subshifts of positive entropy

## Theorem

Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be a countable (infinite) *amenable* group, and let  $(X, \sigma)$  be a  $\mathbb{G}$ -SFT of *positive entropy*, then  $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$  contains a copy of any finite group.

Comments:

- For the full shift with  $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{Z}$  - due to Hedlund [H].

## Theorem

Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be a countable (infinite) *amenable* group, and let  $(X, \sigma)$  be a  $\mathbb{G}$ -SFT of *positive entropy*, then  $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$  contains a copy of any finite group.

Comments:

- For the full shift with  $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{Z}$  - due to Hedlund [H].
- For irreducible  $\mathbb{Z}$ -SFTs - due to Boyle-Lind-Rudolph [BLR].

## Theorem

Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be a countable (infinite) *amenable* group, and let  $(X, \sigma)$  be a  $\mathbb{G}$ -SFT of *positive entropy*, then  $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$  contains a copy of any finite group.

Comments:

- For the full shift with  $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{Z}$  - due to Hedlund [H].
- For irreducible  $\mathbb{Z}$ -SFTs - due to Boyle-Lind-Rudolph [BLR].
- For *strongly irreducible*  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -SFTs - due to Tom Ward [W].

## Theorem

Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be a countable (infinite) *amenable* group, and let  $(X, \sigma)$  be a  $\mathbb{G}$ -SFT of *positive entropy*, then  $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$  contains a copy of any finite group.

Comments:

- For the full shift with  $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{Z}$  - due to Hedlund [H].
- For irreducible  $\mathbb{Z}$ -SFTs - due to Boyle-Lind-Rudolph [BLR].
- For *strongly irreducible*  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -SFTs - due to Tom Ward [W]. True for a strongly irreducible SFTs over any infinite countable group  $\mathbb{G}$ .

## Theorem

Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be a countable (infinite) *amenable* group, and let  $(X, \sigma)$  be a  $\mathbb{G}$ -SFT of *positive entropy*, then  $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$  contains a copy of any finite group.

## Comments:

- For the full shift with  $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{Z}$  - due to Hedlund [H].
- For irreducible  $\mathbb{Z}$ -SFTs - due to Boyle-Lind-Rudolph [BLR].
- For *strongly irreducible*  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -SFTs - due to Tom Ward [W]. True for a strongly irreducible SFTs over any infinite countable group  $\mathbb{G}$ .
- For positive entropy subshifts over  $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{Z}^d$  - due to Mike Hochman [Hoc].

# On automorphisms of $\mathbb{G}$ -subshifts of positive entropy

## Theorem

Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be a countable (infinite) *amenable* group, and let  $(X, \sigma)$  be a  $\mathbb{G}$ -SFT of *positive entropy*, then  $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$  contains a copy of any finite group.

## Comments:

- For the full shift with  $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{Z}$  - due to Hedlund [H].
- For irreducible  $\mathbb{Z}$ -SFTs - due to Boyle-Lind-Rudolph [BLR].
- For *strongly irreducible*  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -SFTs - due to Tom Ward [W]. True for a strongly irreducible SFTs over any infinite countable group  $\mathbb{G}$ .
- For positive entropy subshifts over  $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{Z}^d$  - due to Mike Hochman [Hoc].
- The assumption “ $X$  is an SFT” can be replaced by the weaker assumption “Topological Markov Field” (the same proof holds).



- Suffices to show  $Aut(X, \sigma)$  contains the permutation group  $S_n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

- Suffices to show  $Aut(X, \sigma)$  contains the permutation group  $S_n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , because any finite group is a subgroup of some  $S_n$ .

- Suffices to show  $Aut(X, \sigma)$  contains the permutation group  $S_n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , because any finite group is a subgroup of some  $S_n$ .
- Based on construction of automorphisms using **Markers**.

- Suffices to show  $Aut(X, \sigma)$  contains the permutation group  $S_n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , because any finite group is a subgroup of some  $S_n$ .
- Based on construction of automorphisms using **Markers**.
- Under the same assumptions  $Aut(X, \sigma)$  embeds the **direct sum** of every countable collection of finite groups.

- Suffices to show  $Aut(X, \sigma)$  contains the permutation group  $S_n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , because any finite group is a subgroup of some  $S_n$ .
- Based on construction of automorphisms using **Markers**.
- Under the same assumptions  $Aut(X, \sigma)$  embeds the **direct sum** of every countable collection of finite groups.
- More assumptions are needed to embed free groups
- Different sorts of “Markers” are used in the proof of many important results in ergodic theory and in topological dynamics.

# Ryan's theorem $Aut(X, T)$

Ryan's theorem was generalized to  $\mathbb{Z}$ -SFTs in [BLR] and to  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -SFTs in [Hoc]:

# Ryan's theorem $Aut(X, T)$

Ryan's theorem was generalized to  $\mathbb{Z}$ -SFTs in [BLR] and to  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -SFTs in [Hoc]:

## Theorem

Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be an amenable group, and let  $(X, \sigma)$  be a *topologically-transitive*  $\mathbb{G}$ -SFT with  $h(X, \sigma) > 0$ . Then the center of  $Aut(X, \sigma)$  is  $\sigma|_{Z(\mathbb{G})}$ .

# Ryan's theorem $Aut(X, T)$

Ryan's theorem was generalized to  $\mathbb{Z}$ -SFTs in [BLR] and to  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -SFTs in [Hoc]:

## Theorem

Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be an amenable group, and let  $(X, \sigma)$  be a *topologically-transitive*  $\mathbb{G}$ -SFT with  $h(X, \sigma) > 0$ . Then the center of  $Aut(X, \sigma)$  is  $\sigma|_{\mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{G})}$ .

- Transitivity assumption is required even when  $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{Z}$ .



# Ryan's theorem $Aut(X, T)$

Ryan's theorem was generalized to  $\mathbb{Z}$ -SFTs in [BLR] and to  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -SFTs in [Hoc]:

## Theorem

Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be an amenable group, and let  $(X, \sigma)$  be a *topologically-transitive*  $\mathbb{G}$ -SFT with  $h(X, \sigma) > 0$ . Then the center of  $Aut(X, \sigma)$  is  $\sigma|_{\mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{G})}$ .

- Transitivity assumption is required even when  $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Proof uses again uses *Markers*.

# Embedding $\text{Aut}(\{1, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma)$

## Theorem (Hochman [Hoc])

Let  $(X, \sigma)$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -SFT with  $h(X, \sigma) > 0$ , such that the *minimal orbits* are dense in  $X$  (in particular, if the periodic points are dense), then it is possible to embed  $\text{Aut}(\{1, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma)$  in  $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

# Embedding $\text{Aut}(\{1, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma)$

## Theorem (Hochman [Hoc])

Let  $(X, \sigma)$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -SFT with  $h(X, \sigma) > 0$ , such that the *minimal orbits* are dense in  $X$  (in particular, if the periodic points are dense), then it is possible to embed  $\text{Aut}(\{1, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma)$  in  $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- Does not extend to general amenable groups:

## Theorem (Hochman [Hoc])

Let  $(X, \sigma)$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -SFT with  $h(X, \sigma) > 0$ , such that the *minimal orbits* are dense in  $X$  (in particular, if the periodic points are dense), then it is possible to embed  $\text{Aut}(\{1, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma)$  in  $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- Does not extend to general amenable groups: For instance, the conclusion fails if  $\mathbb{G}$  is locally finite...

## Theorem (Hochman [Hoc])

Let  $(X, \sigma)$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -SFT with  $h(X, \sigma) > 0$ , such that the *minimal orbits* are dense in  $X$  (in particular, if the periodic points are dense), then it is possible to embed  $Aut(\{1, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma)$  in  $Aut(X, \sigma)$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- Does not extend to general amenable groups: For instance, the conclusion fails if  $\mathbb{G}$  is locally finite...
- Proof uses again uses **Markers**.

## Theorem (Hochman [Hoc])

Let  $(X, \sigma)$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -SFT with  $h(X, \sigma) > 0$ , such that the *minimal orbits* are dense in  $X$  (in particular, if the periodic points are dense), then it is possible to embed  $Aut(\{1, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma)$  in  $Aut(X, \sigma)$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- Does not extend to general amenable groups: For instance, the conclusion fails if  $\mathbb{G}$  is locally finite...
- Proof uses again uses **Markers**.

- [BLR] M. Boyle, D. Lind, and D. Rudolph, The automorphism group of a shift of finite type, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 1988
- [CM] N. Chandgotia and T. Meyerovitch, Markov Random Fields, Markov Cocycles and the 3-colored Chessboard, *arXiv:1305.0808*
- [Hed] G. A. Hedlund, Endomorphisms and automorphisms of the shift dynamical system. *Math. Systems Theory*, 1969
- [Hoc] M. Hochman, On the automorphism groups of multidimensional shifts of finite type. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 2010
- [W] T. Ward, Automorphisms of  $\mathbf{Z}^d$ -subshifts of finite type, *Indag. Math.* 1994

# Hochman's $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -Markers

- For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  Let  $B_k := \{v \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \|v\| \leq k\}$ .



# Hochman's $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -Markers

- For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  Let  $B_k := \{v \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \|v\| \leq k\}$ .
- For  $F \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  Let

$$\partial_k F := \partial_{B_k} F = \{v \in F : (v + B_k) \cap F^c\}.$$

# Hochman's $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -Markers

- For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  Let  $B_k := \{v \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \|v\| \leq k\}$ .
- For  $F \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  Let

$$\partial_k F := \partial_{B_k} F = \{v \in F : (v + B_k) \cap F^c\}.$$

- Let  $m > k \geq \frac{m}{2}$ , and  $F_{k,m} := \partial_k B_m$ . A pattern  $a \in \Sigma^{F_{k,m}}$  is called a  **$(k, m)$ -marker** for  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  if  $[a]_{F_{k,m}} \neq \emptyset$  but  $[a]_{F_{k,m}} \cap \sigma_v[a]_{F_{k,m}} = \emptyset$  for any  $v \in B_{m+k} \setminus \{0\}$ .

# Hochman's $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -Markers

- For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  Let  $B_k := \{v \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \|v\| \leq k\}$ .
- For  $F \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  Let

$$\partial_k F := \partial_{B_k} F = \{v \in F : (v + B_k) \cap F^c\}.$$

- Let  $m > k \geq \frac{m}{2}$ , and  $F_{k,m} := \partial_k B_m$ . A pattern  $a \in \Sigma^{F_{k,m}}$  is called a  **$(k, m)$ -marker** for  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  if  $[a]_{F_{k,m}} \neq \emptyset$  but  $[a]_{F_{k,m}} \cap \sigma_v[a]_{F_{k,m}} = \emptyset$  for any  $v \in B_{m+k} \setminus \{0\}$ .
- This means that  $a$  is an **admissible pattern for  $X$**  whose shape is a “square annulus” or “washer” of thickness  $k$ ,

# Hochman's $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -Markers

- For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  Let  $B_k := \{v \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \|v\| \leq k\}$ .
- For  $F \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  Let

$$\partial_k F := \partial_{B_k} F = \{v \in F : (v + B_k) \cap F^c\}.$$

- Let  $m > k \geq \frac{m}{2}$ , and  $F_{k,m} := \partial_k B_m$ . A pattern  $a \in \Sigma^{F_{k,m}}$  is called a  **$(k, m)$ -marker** for  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  if  $[a]_{F_{k,m}} \neq \emptyset$  but  $[a]_{F_{k,m}} \cap \sigma_v[a]_{F_{k,m}} = \emptyset$  for any  $v \in B_{m+k} \setminus \{0\}$ .
- This means that  $a$  is an **admissible pattern for  $X$**  whose shape is a “square annulus” or “washer” of thickness  $k$ , such that the inside of one “washer” pattern can't overlap the other washer.

# Hochman's $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -Markers

- For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  Let  $B_k := \{v \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \|v\| \leq k\}$ .
- For  $F \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  Let

$$\partial_k F := \partial_{B_k} F = \{v \in F : (v + B_k) \cap F^c\}.$$

- Let  $m > k \geq \frac{m}{2}$ , and  $F_{k,m} := \partial_k B_m$ . A pattern  $a \in \Sigma^{F_{k,m}}$  is called a  **$(k, m)$ -marker** for  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  if  $[a]_{F_{k,m}} \neq \emptyset$  but  $[a]_{F_{k,m}} \cap \sigma_v[a]_{F_{k,m}} = \emptyset$  for any  $v \in B_{m+k} \setminus \{0\}$ .
- This means that  $a$  is an **admissible pattern for  $X$**  whose shape is a “square annulus” or “washer” of thickness  $k$ , such that the inside of one “washer” pattern can't overlap the other washer.
- A marker  $a \in \Sigma^{F_{k,m}}$  has at least  **$N$  admissible completions** if there exist  $a^{(1)}, \dots, a^{(N)} \in \Sigma^{B_{k+m}}$  such that  $a^{(i)}|_{F_{k,m}} = a$ .

# Hochman's $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -Markers

- For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  Let  $B_k := \{v \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \|v\| \leq k\}$ .
- For  $F \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  Let

$$\partial_k F := \partial_{B_k} F = \{v \in F : (v + B_k) \cap F^c\}.$$

- Let  $m > k \geq \frac{m}{2}$ , and  $F_{k,m} := \partial_k B_m$ . A pattern  $a \in \Sigma^{F_{k,m}}$  is called a  **$(k, m)$ -marker** for  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  if  $[a]_{F_{k,m}} \neq \emptyset$  but  $[a]_{F_{k,m}} \cap \sigma_v[a]_{F_{k,m}} = \emptyset$  for any  $v \in B_{m+k} \setminus \{0\}$ .
- This means that  $a$  is an **admissible pattern for  $X$**  whose shape is a “square annulus” or “washer” of thickness  $k$ , such that the inside of one “washer” pattern can't overlap the other washer.
- A marker  $a \in \Sigma^{F_{k,m}}$  has at least  **$N$  admissible completions** if there exist  $a^{(1)}, \dots, a^{(N)} \in \Sigma^{B_{k+m}}$  such that  $a^{(i)}|_{F_{k,m}} = a$ .

# Automorphisms from markers:

- Let  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  be a TMF for  $B_{k/2}$ .

# Automorphisms from markers:

- Let  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  be a TMF for  $B_{k/2}$ .
- **Claim:** If  $a$  is a  $(k, m)$ -marker for a  $X$  with  $N$  admissible completions then  $S_N$  embeds inside  $Aut(X, \sigma)$ .



# Automorphisms from markers:

- Let  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  be a TMF for  $B_{k/2}$ .
- **Claim:** If  $a$  is a  $(k, m)$ -marker for a  $X$  with  $N$  admissible completions then  $S_N$  embeds inside  $Aut(X, \sigma)$ .
- **The formula:**

# Automorphisms from markers:

- Let  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  be a TMF for  $B_{k/2}$ .
- **Claim:** If  $a$  is a  $(k, m)$ -marker for a  $X$  with  $N$  admissible completions then  $S_N$  embeds inside  $Aut(X, \sigma)$ .
- **The formula:** Given  $p \in S_N$  define  $\phi_p \in Aut(X, \sigma)$  by

$$\phi_p(x)_v = \begin{cases} a_w^{(p(i))} & \sigma_{w-v}(x)|_{B_{k+m}} = a^{(i)}, w \in B_m \\ x_v & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

# Automorphisms from markers:

- Let  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  be a TMF for  $B_{k/2}$ .
- **Claim:** If  $a$  is a  $(k, m)$ -marker for a  $X$  with  $N$  admissible completions then  $S_N$  embeds inside  $Aut(X, \sigma)$ .
- **The formula:** Given  $p \in S_N$  define  $\phi_p \in Aut(X, \sigma)$  by

$$\phi_p(x)_v = \begin{cases} a_w^{(p(i))} & \sigma_{w-v}(x)|_{B_{k+m}} = a^{(i)}, w \in B_m \\ x_v & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- $\phi_p : X \rightarrow X$  Well defined because  $X$  is a TMF with window  $W = B_{k/2}$ , and because  $a$  can't self overlap inside the washer.

# Automorphisms from markers:

- Let  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  be a TMF for  $B_{k/2}$ .
- **Claim:** If  $a$  is a  $(k, m)$ -marker for a  $X$  with  $N$  admissible completions then  $S_N$  embeds inside  $Aut(X, \sigma)$ .
- **The formula:** Given  $p \in S_N$  define  $\phi_p \in Aut(X, \sigma)$  by

$$\phi_p(x)_v = \begin{cases} a_w^{(p(i))} & \sigma_{w-v}(x)|_{B_{k+m}} = a^{(i)}, w \in B_m \\ x_v & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- $\phi_p : X \rightarrow X$  Well defined because  $X$  is a TMF with window  $W = B_{k/2}$ , and because  $a$  can't self overlap inside the washer.
- Because of the marker property,  $\phi_p(x) \in [a]_{F_{k,m}}$  if and only if  $x \in [a]_{F_{k,m}}$ , So indeed  $\phi_p \circ \phi_q = \phi_{p \circ q}$  for  $p, q \in S_N$ .

# Automorphisms from markers:

- Let  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  be a TMF for  $B_{k/2}$ .
- **Claim:** If  $a$  is a  $(k, m)$ -marker for a  $X$  with  $N$  admissible completions then  $S_N$  embeds inside  $Aut(X, \sigma)$ .
- **The formula:** Given  $p \in S_N$  define  $\phi_p \in Aut(X, \sigma)$  by

$$\phi_p(x)_v = \begin{cases} a_w^{(p(i))} & \sigma_{w-v}(x)|_{B_{k+m}} = a^{(i)}, w \in B_m \\ x_v & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- $\phi_p : X \rightarrow X$  Well defined because  $X$  is a TMF with window  $W = B_{k/2}$ , and because  $a$  can't self overlap inside the washer.
- Because of the marker property,  $\phi_p(x) \in [a]_{F_{k,m}}$  if and only if  $x \in [a]_{F_{k,m}}$ , So indeed  $\phi_p \circ \phi_q = \phi_{p \circ q}$  for  $p, q \in S_N$ .

# Existence of Markers for positive entropy $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -TMFs

## Lemma (Existence of Markers, [Hoc])

*Let  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -TMF with positive entropy then for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist a  $(10k, 9k)$ -marker for  $X$  with  $N$  admissible completions.*

## Lemma (Existence of Markers, [Hoc])

Let  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -TMF with positive entropy then for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist a  $(10k, 9k)$ -marker for  $X$  with  $N$  admissible completions.

- **Idea of proof:** With respect to almost any  $\sigma$ -invariant measure of positive entropy on  $X$ , the probability that  $x|_{F_{10k,9k}}$  is a marker with  $N$  completions tends to 1.

## Lemma (Existence of Markers, [Hoc])

Let  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -TMF with positive entropy then for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist a  $(10k, 9k)$ -marker for  $X$  with  $N$  admissible completions.

- **Idea of proof:** With respect to almost any  $\sigma$ -invariant measure of positive entropy on  $X$ , the probability that  $x|_{F_{10k,9k}}$  is a marker with  $N$  completions tends to 1.